arXiv:1411.6134v2 [math.NT] 30 Oct 2015

Plancherel measures for coverings of p-adic $SL_2(F)$

David Goldberg and Dani Szpruch

Abstract

In these notes we compute the Plancherel measures associated with genuine principal series representations of n-fold covers of $p-$adic SL_2. Along the way we also compute a higher dimensional metaplectic analog of Shahidi local coefficients. Our method involves new functional equations utilizing the Tate γ-factor and a metaplectic counterpart. As an application we prove an irreducibility theorem.

0 Introduction

Let G be quasi-split reductive group defined over a p-adic field. The Plancherel measure is an analytic invariant associated with a parabolic induction on G. It was conjectured by Langlands, [11], that this invariant is a ratio of certain L-functions and hence of arithmetic significance. Under some mild assumptions, this conjecture was proven for generic inducing data by Shahidi in [17] where the Plancherel measure was utilized to derive some results in harmonic analysis on p-adic reductive groups. Since, up to a well understood positive constant, the Plancherel measure equals an inverse of a scalar arising from a composition of two standard intertwining integrals, Shahidi was able to use his theory of local coefficients for that proof. In this paper we follow Shahidi's approach and compute the Plancherel measure for coverings of $SL_2(F)$ even though uniqueness of Whittaker model fails.

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Let F be a p-adic which contains the full group of n^{th} order roots of 1. We shall also assume that its residual characteristic is prime to n. Let $\widetilde{G(F)}$ be the n-fold cover of $G(F) = SL_2(F)$ afforded by the Kubota cocycle, see [10]. Let $H(F)$ be the diagonal subgroup inside $G(F)$, let $N(F)$ be the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices in $G(F)$ and let $B(F) = H(F) \ltimes N(F)$. $\widetilde{H(F)}$, the inverse image in $\widetilde{G(F)}$ of $H(F)$ is not Abelian. Rather, it is a two step nilpotent group. In Section 3.2 we construct the irreducible genuine admissible representations of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ using an analog of Kazhdan-Patterson's standard maximal Abelian group, [4]. It is interesting to note the similarity to the $n = 2$ case, if n is even then the construction involves the Weil index of a character of second degree. This phenomena is not present in the construction in [4]. Let τ be a genuine smooth admissible irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H(F)}$. Let $I(\tau, s) = \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B(F)}}^{\widetilde{G(F)}} \tau_s$ be the representation of $\widetilde{G(F)}$ parabolically induced from τ_s. Here s is a complex parameter. Let

$$A_w(\tau, s) : I(\tau, s) \to I(\tau^w, -s)$$

be the standard intertwining integral associated with the unique non-trivial Weyl element

of $G(F)$. Then $A_w(\tau,s)$ induces a map

$$\widehat{A}_w(\tau,s): Wh_\psi(\tau^w,-s)\to Wh_\psi(\tau,s)$$

where ψ is a non-trivial character of $N(F)$ and $Wh_\psi(\tau,s)$ is the space of ψ-Whittaker functionals on $I(\tau,s)$. Unless $n\leq 2$, $Wh_\psi(\tau,s)$ is not one dimensional. In fact,

$$\dim\big(Wh_\psi(\tau,s)\big)=\frac{n}{gcd(n,2)}.$$

In Section 4 we fix certain bases for $Wh_\psi(\tau^w,-s)$ and $Wh_\psi(\tau,s)$ and compute the matrix $D(\tau,s)$ representing $\widehat{A}_w(\tau,s)$ with respect to these bases. Using $D(\tau,s)$ and $D(\tau^w,-s)$ we prove in Section 5 our main result: if we take the measure in the integral defining the intertwining operators to be the self dual measure with respect to ψ then

$$A_{w^{-1}}(\tau^w,-s)\circ A_w(\tau,s)=q^{e(\chi^n,\psi)}\frac{L\big(ns,\chi^n\big)L\big(-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)}{L\big(1-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)L\big(1+ns,\chi^n\big)}\,\mathrm{Id}\tag{0.1}$$

Here χ is a character of F^*, χ^n is an invariant of τ and $q^{e(\chi^n,\psi)}$ is a positive constant defined in Section 1.1. Observe that while $D(\tau,s)$ and $D(\tau^w,-s)$ depend on ψ, the constant in the right hand side of (0.1) is independent of ψ except for the choice of measure. Moreover, if τ and ψ are unramified then $q^{e(\chi^n,\psi)}=1$ and (0.1) may be deduced from the metaplectic Gindikin-Karpilevich formula. Indeed, if $I(\tau,s)$ is unramified and $\phi^0_{\tau,s}$ is its normalized spherical vector then from Theorem 12.1 of [14] it follows that

$$A_w(\tau,s)\big(\phi^0_{\tau,s}\big)=\frac{L\big(ns,\chi^n\big)}{L\big(1+ns,\chi^n\big)}\phi^0_{\tau^w,-s}.$$

As an immediate application of (0.1) we find all the reducible genuine principal series representations of $\widetilde{G(F)}$ induced from a unitary data, see Theorem 5.2. The matrix $D(\tau,s)$ is a higher dimensional metaplectic analog of (the inverse of) Shahidi local coefficients. In Lemma 1.33 of [4], Kazhdan and Patterson have computed this matrix in the context of n-fold covers of $GL_r(F)$. Their result involved Gauss sums. However, while the computation in [4] addresses only representations induced from unramified data, our computation applies for all inducing data. Moreover, our method deviates from those used in [4]. In the cases where n is odd we have used the Tate γ-factor and functional equation in a fundamental way: in Section 2 we follow an elegant argument used by Ariturk for the $n=3$ case and prove a functional equation suitable for our computation.

In the cases where n is even we prove a similar functional equation involving the metaplectic $\widetilde{\gamma}$-factor arising from the functional equation proven in [21]. The role of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ seems to be as natural and important here as the role of the Tate γ-factor. We note that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is represented by a certain Tate-type integral. This integral initially appeared in unpublished notes of W. Jay Sweet, [19], where it was computed and utilised for the study of degenerate principal series representations of the metaplectic double cover of $Sp_{2n}(F)$. It then appeared in [20] which contains the $n=2$ case of the computation presented here. We expect $\widetilde{\gamma}$ to play a similar roll in the representation theory of even fold covers of classical groups. In [19], Sweet thanks Kudla for a helpful suggestion about the computation of $\widetilde{\gamma}$.

To the best of our knowledge, this computation is not available in print. In an appendix we correct this situation and reproduce the results given in [19].

The main motivation for this work is an ongoing project [5] in which we study the analog of Kazhdan-Patterson's exceptional representations for coverings of classical and similitude groups. The technique presented here is sufficient for the completion of the project, namely, for producing an analog of Lemma 1.33 of [4] for all the groups in discussion. Since our method is applicable for coverings of $GL_r(F)$, our result can be used to identify the Gauss sums in [4] as ϵ-factors.

Although we shall not develop this point here, our computations show that even-fold covers are more delicate than odd-fold covers as the analytic properties of $D(\tau, s)$ depend on the choice of Whittaker character for these groups. This was already noted in the $n = 2$ case, see [20]. This phenomena is responsible for fact that the irreducible modules that appear in the restriction of the unramified distinguished representation studied by Gelbart and Piatetski-Shapiro in [7] and [8] to the double cover of $SL_2(F)$ have a Whittaker model with respect to exactly one orbit of Whittaker characters. We expect this phenomena to reappear in the study of even-fold covers of classical groups.

In a recent paper, [6], Gan and Gao raised the question whether the Langlands-Shahidi method could be extended to metaplectic groups other than the metaplectic double cover of $Sp_{2n}(F)$. We hope that the results contained in these notes will contribute to this discussion.

We would like to thank Solomon Friedberg for helpful discussions on the subject matter and to Wee Teck Gan and Freydoon Shahidi for their valuable comments. We would also like to thank Gordan Savin for making his notes available to us.

1 Preparations

1.1 Basic notations

Let F be a p-adic field. Denote by p its residual characteristic and by q the cardinality of its residue field. Denote by $\mathbb{O}_F$ its ring of integers. Fix ϖ, a generator of $\mathbb{P}_F$, the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{O}_F$. We normalize the absolute value on F such that $\|\varpi\| = q^{-1}$. For ψ, a non-trivial character of F and χ, a character of F^*, we define $e(\psi)$ and $e(\chi)$ to be the conductors of ψ and χ respectively and we define

$$e(\psi, \chi) = e(\psi) - e(\chi).$$

Let $S(F)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on F^*. Given $\phi \in S(F)$ we define $\widehat{\phi} \in S(F)$ to be its ψ Fourier transform, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\phi}(x) = \int_F \phi(y)\psi(xy)\, d_\psi y.$$

Here $d_\psi y$ is the self dual measure with respect to ψ. We define $d^*_\psi x = \|x\|^{-1} d_\psi x$. It is a Haar measure on F^*. If ψ is unramified we write dx and d^*x for $d_\psi x$ and $d^*_\psi x$ respectively. For $a \in F^*$ we denote by ψ_a the character of F given by $x \mapsto \psi(xa)$. Let $\gamma_F(\psi)$ be the unnormalized Weil index of character of second degree, see [27], defined by

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \psi(x^2)\, d_\psi x.$$

It known that $\gamma_F^8(\psi) = 1$. If F is of odd residual characteristic and ψ is spherical then $\gamma_F(\psi) = 1$. Let $\gamma_\psi : F^* \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be the normalized Weil index defined by

$$\gamma_\psi(a) = \frac{\gamma_F(\psi_a)}{\gamma_F(\psi)}.$$

Recall that $\gamma_\psi(F^{*2}) = 1$ and that for $x, y \in F^*$ we have

$$\gamma_\psi(xy) = \gamma_\psi(x)\gamma_\psi(x)(x, y)_2,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ is the quadratic Hilbert symbol.

Remark 1.1. *If F is of odd residual characteristic and $-1 \in F^{*2}$ then $\gamma_\psi(F^*) \in \mu_2$. If, in addition, ψ is unramified then $\gamma_\psi(\mathbb{O}_F^*) = 1$ and for exactly one representative u of $\mathbb{O}_F^*/{\mathbb{O}_F^*}^2$ we have $\gamma_\psi(u\varpi) = 1$.*

1.2 Tate and metaplectic-Tate gamma factors.

Let χ be a character of F^*, let ψ be a non-trivial character of F and let s be a complex parameter . For $\phi \in S(F)$ we let $\zeta(s, \chi, \phi)$ be its Mellin transform, namely, the meromorphic continuation of

$$\int_{F^*} \phi(x)\|x\|^s \chi(x)\, d_\psi^* x.$$

Let

$$\gamma(s, \chi, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \chi, \psi)\frac{L(1 - s, \chi^{-1})}{L(s, \chi)}$$

be the Tate γ-factor, [25]. It is defined via the functional equation

$$\zeta(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \widehat{\phi}) = \gamma(s, \chi, \psi)\zeta(s, \chi, \phi). \tag{1.1}$$

Recall that both Mellin transforms in the equation above are given by absolutely convergent integrals in some common vertical strip. The following are stated in [26].

$$\epsilon(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \psi) = \chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(s, \chi, \psi), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\epsilon(s + t, \chi, \psi) = q^{e(\psi,\chi)t}\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi_a) = \chi(a)\|a\|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi). \tag{1.4}$$

Observe that (1.2) and (1.3) imply that

$$\epsilon(s, \chi, \psi)\epsilon(-s, \chi^{-1}, \psi) = \chi(-1)q^{-e(\psi,\chi)}. \tag{1.5}$$

For $\phi \in S(F)$ we now define $\widetilde{\phi} : F^* \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x) = \int_{F^*} \phi(y)\gamma_\psi^{-1}(xy)\psi(xy)d_\psi y.$$

Although $\widetilde{\phi}(x)$ is typically not an element of $S(F)$ it was proven in [21] that

$$\int_{F^*} \widetilde{\phi}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s d_\psi^* x$$

converges absolutely for $a < Re(s) < a+1$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, to some rational function in q^{-s} (it was assumed in [21] that ψ is spherical but this is unnecessary). This enables the natural definition of $\zeta(s, \chi, \widetilde{\phi})$. Moreover, $\zeta(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \widetilde{\phi})$ and $\zeta(s, \chi, \psi)$ are both given by absolutely convergent integrals in some common vertical strip and there exists a function $\widetilde{\gamma}(s, \chi, \psi)$ such that

$$\zeta(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \widetilde{\phi}) = \zeta(s, \chi, \phi)\widetilde{\gamma}(s, \chi, \psi).$$

For all $\phi \in S(F)$. It was also proven in [21] that $\widetilde{\gamma}(\chi^{-1}, 1-s, \psi)$ is the meromorphic continuation of

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \chi(x) \|x\|^s \gamma_\psi(x)^{-1} \psi(x) \, d_\psi^* x.$$

The computation of this integral is contained in unpublished notes of W. Jay Sweet, [19], and is provided here in the appendix. We have

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(1-s, \chi^{-1}, \psi) = \gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\gamma^{-1}(2s, \chi^2, \psi_2)\gamma(s+\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi). \tag{1.6}$$

We note here that although the arguments in [21] are correct, the formula given there for $\widetilde{\gamma}(s, \chi, \psi)$ is slightly mistaken. The formula given here is the correct one.

1.3 n^{th} power Hilbert symbol

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. We shall assume that F^* contains the full group of n^{th} roots of unity. Denote this cyclic group by μ_n. We identify μ_n with the group of n^{th} roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}^*$ and suppress this identification. Let

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : F^* \times F^* \to \mu_n$$

be the n^{th} power Hilbert symbol. It is a bilinear form on F^* that defines a non-degenerate bilinear form on F^*/F^{*n}. It is known that for all $x, y \in F^*$

$$(x, y)(y, x) = (x, -x) = 1.$$

Recall also that for all $x \in F^*$,

$$(x, x) = (-1, x) \in \mu_2.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let F_r^* be the subgroup of F^* of elements whose valuation lies in $r\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $F_r^* \simeq \mathbb{O}_F^* \times r\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.1. *If $gcd(p, n) = 1$ then*

$$\{x \in F^* \mid (x, y) = 1 \, \forall y \in F_n^*\} = F_n^*.$$

Proof. Section 5 of Chapter XIII of [28]. □

For $x \in F^*$ define η_x to be the character of F^* given by

$$\eta_x(y) = (x, y).$$

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose $gcd(p,n) = 1$. Then η_x is trivial on $1 + \mathbb{P}_F$. Furthermore, η_x is unramified if and only if $x \in F_n^*$.*

Proof. By Hensel's lemma, $1 + \mathbb{P}_F \subseteq F^{*n}$ provided that $gcd(p,n) = 1$. This proves the first assertion. The second is clear. □

From this point we assume that $gcd(p,n) = 1$. This assumption is relaxed in the appendix and in remark 3.1. We shall denote

$$d = \frac{n}{gcd(n,2)}.$$

Define

$$\beta_\varpi : F_d^* \to F^*$$

by

$$\beta_\varpi(u\varpi^{md}) = u\varpi^m.$$

It is an isomorphism. Note that β_ϖ depends on the choice of a uniformizer.

Lemma 1.3. *Suppose that n is even. For $x, y \in F_d^*$ we have $(x,y)^2 = 1$ and*

$$(x,y) = \big(\beta_\varpi(x), \beta_\varpi(y)\big)_2$$

Proof. Given $x, y \in F^*$ write $x = u\varpi^{dm}$, $y = u'\varpi^{dm'}$ where $u, u' \in \mathbb{O}_F^*$ we have

$$(x,y) = (u,\varpi)^{dm'}(u',\varpi)^{-dm}(-1,\varpi)^{d^2mm'}.$$

Since $n = 2d$ the first assertion follow. Denote by χ_o the unique non-trivial quadratic character of $\mathbb{O}_F^*$. With this notation we have

$$(x,y) = \chi_o^{m'}(u)\chi_o^m(u')(-1,\varpi)^{d^2mm'}$$

and

$$\big(\beta_\varpi(x), \beta_\varpi(y)\big)_2 = \chi_o^{m'}(u)\chi_o^m(u')(-1,\varpi)_2^{mm'}.$$

Thus, the proof is done once we show that

$$(-1,\varpi)^{d^2mm'} = (-1,\varpi)_2^{mm'}.$$

Indeed, if $n = 0 \pmod 4$ then d is even and since F contains a primitive 4^{th} root of 1 we have $-1 \in F^{*2}$. This shows that

$$(-1,\varpi)^d = (-1,\varpi)_2 = 1.$$

Suppose now that $n = 2 \pmod 4$. It is sufficient to show that

$$(-1,\varpi) = (-1,\varpi)_2.$$

We note that

$$(-1,\varpi)_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & -1 \in F^{*2}; \\ -1 & -1 \notin F^{*2}, \end{cases} \quad (-1,\varpi) = \begin{cases} 1 & -1 \in F^{*n}; \\ -1 & -1 \notin F^{*n}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily see that the assertion that $-1 \in F^{*2}$ is equivalent to the assertion that $-1 \in F^{*n}$ (in fact, both assertions are equivalent to the assertion that F^* contains the full group of $2n^{\text{th}}$ roots of 1). □

Lemma 1.4. *Define $\xi_{\psi,\varpi} : F_d^* \to \mathbb{C}^1$ to be the trivial map if n is odd and $\gamma_\psi^{-1} \circ \beta_\varpi$ if n is even. Then ξ_ψ splits the Hilbert symbol on $F_d^* \times F_d^*$, i.e.,*

$$\xi_{\psi,\varpi}(xy) = \xi_{\psi,\varpi}(x)\xi_{\psi,\varpi}(y)(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in F_d^*$.

Proof. Clear. □

Lemma 1.5. *Suppose that n is even.*
1. If d is odd then $\xi_{\psi,\varpi} = \gamma_\psi^{-1}$.
2. If d is even then

$$\xi_{\psi,\varpi}(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x) & x \in F_n^*; \\ \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x\varpi) & x \notin F_n^*, \end{cases} = \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x) \begin{cases} 1 & x \in F_n^*; \\ \gamma_\psi^{-1}(\varpi)(\varpi, x)^d & x \notin F_n^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Part 1 follows from the fact that if $n = 2 \pmod 4$ then $\beta_\varpi(y) \in yF^{*2}$. Part two follows from the fact that if $n = 0 \pmod 4$ then for $y \in F_n^*$ we have $\beta_\varpi(y) \in yF^{*2}$ while for $y \notin F_n^*$ we have $\beta_\varpi(y) \in \varpi yF^{*2}$. □

1.4 Characteristic functions

Denote by $F_{n,k}^*$ the set of elements of F^* of the form $u\varpi^m$ where $u \in \mathbb{O}_F^*$ and $m \in k + n\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $F_n^* = F_{n,0}^*$. Fix a unit u_0 such that $\xi = (u_0, \varpi)$ is a primitive n^{th} root of 1. Define a function

$$\beta_{n,k} : F^* \to \mathbb{C}$$

by

$$\beta_{n,k}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (u_0, x\varpi^{-k})^l.$$

Lemma 1.6. *$\beta_{n,k}$ is the characteristic function of $F_{n,k}^*$.*

Proof. Clearly, if $x \in F_{n,k}^*$ then $\beta_k(x) = 1$. On the other hand, if $x = u\varpi^m$ where $m \notin k + n\mathbb{Z}$ then $1 \neq (u_0, x\varpi^{-k}) \in \mu_n$. Thus, $\beta_k(x) = 0$. □

Since $x \mapsto (u_0, x)$ is an unramified character then

$$(u_0, x) = \|x\|^c$$

for some purely imaginary number c. Thus, $\xi = q^{-c}$ and

$$\beta_{n,k}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{-kl} \|x\|^{lc} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{kl} \|x\|^{-lc}. \tag{1.7}$$

2 Functional equations.

In this Section we generalize an argument that appears in [1]. Precisely, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [1] are the $n = 3$ case of Theorem 2.1 below. For $\phi \in S(F)$ define

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s, \chi, \phi) = \zeta(s, \chi, \phi \cdot \beta_{n,k}).$$

Theorem 2.1. *For $0 \leq k < n$ we have*

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s, \chi, \widehat{\phi}) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \theta_m(s, \chi, \psi)\zeta_{n,m+e(\psi,\chi)-k}(1 - s, \chi^{-1}, \phi), \tag{2.1}$$

where, for unramified χ,

$$\theta_m(s, \chi, \psi) = \epsilon^{-1}(s, \chi, \psi)L(ns, \chi^n)\big(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s}\big)^m \times \begin{cases} (1 - q^{-1}) & 0 \leq m \leq n - 2; \\ \\ L^{-1}(1 - ns, \chi^{-n}) & m = n - 1, \end{cases}$$

and where for ramified χ,

$$\theta_m(s, \chi, \psi) = \begin{cases} \chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(s, \chi, \psi) & m = 0; \\ \\ 0 & m \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove this result for s such that all Mellin transforms in (2.1) are given by absolutely convergent integrals. We have

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s, \chi, \widehat{\phi}) = \int_{F^*} \beta_{n,k}(x)\widehat{\phi}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s d^*_\psi x = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{-kl} \int_{F^*} \widehat{\phi}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^{s+lc} d^*_\psi x =$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{-kl}\gamma\big(1 - (s + lc), \chi^{-1}, \psi\big) \int_{F^*} \phi(x)\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{1-s-lc} d^*_\psi x =$$

$$\int_{F^*} \delta_{k,\psi,\chi}(x)\phi(x)\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{1-s} d^*_\psi x$$

where

$$\delta_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{-kl}\gamma\big(1 - (s + lc), \chi^{-1}, \psi\big)\|x\|^{-lc}.$$

It remains to show that

$$\delta_{k,\psi,\chi,s} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \theta_m(s, \chi, \psi)\beta_{n,m+e(\psi,\chi)-k}.$$

Suppose first that χ is ramified. In this case, by (1.2) and (1.3) we have

$$\delta_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x) = \chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(s, \chi, \psi) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \xi^{l\left(e(\psi,\chi)-k\right)}\|x\|^{-lc}.$$

By (1.7) we are done. Suppose now that χ is unramified. By (1.2) and (1.3) we now have

$$\delta_{k,\psi,\chi,s}=\epsilon^{-1}(s,\chi,\psi)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\|x\|^{-lc}\xi^{l(e(\psi)-k)}\frac{1-q^{-1}q^{s}\chi^{-1}(\varpi)\xi^{-l}}{1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\xi^{l}}=$$

$$\prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\xi^{m})^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}(s,\chi,\psi)\times$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\Big(\|x\|^{-lc}\xi^{l(e(\psi)-k)}(1-q^{-1}q^{s}\chi^{-1}(\varpi)\xi^{-l})\prod_{0\leq m\leq n-1\ m\neq l}\big(1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\xi^{m}\big)\Big).$$

Since ξ is a primitive element in μ_n, we have the elementary identities

$$\prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\xi^{m})=\big(1-q^{-ns}\chi^{n}(\varpi)\big)$$

and

$$\prod_{0\leq m\leq n-1\ m\neq l}\big(1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\xi^{m}\big)=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}q^{-ms}\chi^{m}(\varpi)\xi^{lm}.$$

Thus,

$$\delta_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x)=\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(s,\chi,\psi)L(\chi^{n},ns)\times$$

$$\left(\frac{\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^{n-1}}{L(\chi^{-n},1-ns)}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\|x\|^{-lc}\xi^{l(e(\psi)-k-1)}+(1-q^{-1})\sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi))^{m}\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\|x\|^{-lc}\xi^{l(e(\psi)-k+m)}\Big)\right).$$

Using (1.7) once more we complete the proof. □

We now define

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s,\chi,\widetilde{\phi})=\zeta(s,\chi,\widetilde{\phi}\beta_{n,k})$$

and prove a metaplectic analog to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that n is even. For $0\leq k<n$ we have*

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s,\chi,\widetilde{\phi})=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\widetilde{\theta}_{m}(s,\chi,\psi)\zeta_{n,m+e(\psi,\chi^{2})-k}(1-s,\chi^{-1},\phi),\tag{2.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\theta}_{m}(s,\chi,\psi)$ is defined as follows. If χ is unramified then

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{m}(s,\chi,\psi)=\gamma_{F}^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^{2},\psi_{2})\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\times$$

$$\begin{cases}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^{s}\ \chi^{-1}(\varpi) & m=n-1;\\ (1-q^{-1})\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^{m}L(ns,\chi^{n}) & m\in 2\mathbb{Z};\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If χ is ramified but χ^2 is unramified then,

$$\widetilde{\theta}_m(s,\chi,\psi)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^{m-1}L(\chi^n,ns)\times$$

$$\begin{cases} L^{-1}(1-ns,\chi^{-n}) & m=n-1; \\ (1-q^{-1}) & m\in 1+2\mathbb{Z},\ m\neq n-1; \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If χ^2 is ramified then,

$$\widetilde{\theta}_m(s,\chi,\psi)=\begin{cases} \gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi) & m=0; \\ 0 & m\neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is sufficient again to prove this result for s such that all Mellin transforms in (2.2) are given by absolutely convergent integrals. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\zeta_{n,k}(s,\chi,\widetilde{\phi})=\int_{F^*}\widetilde{\delta}_{k,\psi,\chi}(x)\phi(x)\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{1-s}d_\psi^*x,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\delta}_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\xi^{-kl}\widetilde{\gamma}\big(1-(s+lc),\chi^{-1},\psi\big)\|x\|^{-lc}.$$

We now continue using (1.6). Note that since n is even, F is of odd residual characteristic. This implies that $e(\psi_2)=e(\psi)$. Suppose first that χ^2 is ramified. We claim that this implies that $e(\chi)=e(\chi^2)$. Indeed, if $e(\chi)=1$ then there is nothing to proof. If $e(\chi)>1$ this equality follows from the fact that $1+\mathbb{P}_F\subseteq F^{*2}$. Thus,

$$\widetilde{\delta}_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\xi^{l\left(e(\psi,\chi)-k\right)}\|x\|^{-lc}.$$

By arguments we used already in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we are done in this case. Suppose now that χ is unramified. We have

$$\widetilde{\gamma}\big(1-(s+lc),\chi^{-1},\psi\big)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\xi^{le(\psi)}\frac{(1-q^{-1})+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q^s\chi^{-1}\big(\varpi)-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)}{1-q^{-2s}\chi^2(\varpi)\xi^{2l}}.$$

One now repeats similar arguments to those used in the unramified case in Theorem 2.1. In the course of the computation one uses the fact that since ξ^2 is a primitive element in μ_d we have

$$\prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{-2s}\chi^2(\varpi)\xi^{2m})=\big(1-q^{-ns}\chi^n(\varpi)\big)^2$$

and

$$\prod_{0\leq m\leq n-1\, m\neq l}\big(1-q^{-2s}\chi^2(\varpi)\xi^m\big)=\big(1-q^{-ns}\chi^n(\varpi)\big)\sum_{m=0}^{d-1}q^{-2ms}\chi^{2m}(\varpi)\xi^{2lm}.$$

This ultimately gives

$$\widetilde{\delta}_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\times$$

$$\Big(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}q^s\ \chi^{-1}(\varpi)\beta_{n-1-k+e(\psi)}(x)+(1-q^{-1})L(ns,\chi^n)\sum_{m=0}^{d-1}\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^m\beta_{2m-k+e(\psi)}(x)\Big).$$

If χ is ramified but χ^2 is unramified then

$$\widetilde{\gamma}\big(1-(s+lc),\chi^{-1},\psi\big)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)\xi^{\left(le(\psi)-1\right)}\frac{1-q^{-1}q^{2s}\chi^{-2}(\varpi)\xi^{2l}}{1-q^{-2s}\chi^2(\varpi)\xi^{-2l}}.$$

Repeating the same arguments as above we obtain

$$\widetilde{\delta}_{k,\psi,\chi,s}(x)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi)L(ns,\chi^n)\times$$

$$\Big(\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^{n-2}L^{-1}(1-ns,\chi^n)\beta_{n,e(\psi)-k+(n-1)}+(1-q^{-1})\sum_{m=0}^{d-1}\big(q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)\big)^{2m}\beta_{n,e(\psi)-k+(2m-1)}\Big).$$

□

3 n fold cover of $SL_2(F)$

3.1 Construction of the cover

Let $SL_2(F)$ be the group of two by two matrices with entries in F whose determinant is 1. Let $N(F)\simeq F$ be the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices. Let $H(F)\simeq F^*$ be the group of diagonal elements inside $SL_2(F)$. Denote $B(F)=H(F)\ltimes N(F)$. For $x\in F$, and $a\in F^*$ we shall write

$$n(x)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & x\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad h(a)=\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & a^{-1}\end{pmatrix},\quad w=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ be the topological central extension of $SL_2(F)$ by μ_n constructed using the Kubota cocylce, [10]. More precisely, we realize $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ as the set $SL_2(F)\times\mu_n$ along with the multiplication

$$\big(g,\epsilon\big)\big(g',\epsilon\big)=\big(gg',c(g,g')\epsilon\epsilon'\big),$$

where

$$c(g_1,g_2)=\big(x(g_1g_2)x^{-1}(g_1),x(g_1g_2)x^{-1}(g_2)\big).\tag{3.1}$$

Here

$$x\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}=\begin{cases}c & c\neq 0;\\ d & c=0.\end{cases}$$

We shall denote by s the map from $SL_2(F)$ to $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ given by $s(g)=(g,1)$. For a subset A of $SL_2(F)$ we shall denote by $\widetilde{A}$ its primage in $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$.

3.2 Representations of the Cartan subgroup

From (3.1) it follows that

$$c\big(h(a),h(b)\big)=(b,a).$$

This implies that $s(h(a))$ and $s(h(b))$ commute if and only if $(b,a)^2=1$. Let $\widetilde{H_0(F)}$ be the center of $\widetilde{H(F)}$. One immediately sees that

$$\widetilde{H_0(F)}=\widetilde{H^d(F)}.$$

Define now

$$H_d(F)=\{h(a)\mid a\in F_d^*\}.$$

From Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4 it follows that $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ is a maximal Abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{H(F)}$. It is an analog to Kazhdan-Patterson's standard maximal Abelian subgroup, see [4]. Observe that if n is odd then $c\big(H_d(F),H_d(F)\big)=\{1\}$. This means that $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ splits over $H_d(F)$ via the trivial section. However, if n is even then $c\big(H_d(F),H_d(F)\big)=\mu_2$.

A representation of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ or any of its subgroups is called genuine if (I_2,ϵ) acts by ϵ. By Lemma 1.4

$$\big(h(a),\epsilon\big)\mapsto\epsilon\xi_{\varpi,\psi}(a)$$

is a genuine character of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$. Since the quotient of two genuine characters of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ is a character which factors through the projection to $H_d(F)$ it follows that any genuine character of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ is given by

$$\big(h(a),\epsilon\big)\mapsto\chi_{\varpi,\psi}\big(h(a),\epsilon\big)=\epsilon\chi(a)\xi_{\varpi,\psi}(a)$$

where χ is a character of F_d^*.

Remark: Suppose that n is even. If $-1\in F^{*2}$ then we may think of $\xi_{\varpi,\psi}$ as a map into μ_n. In this case $\big(h(a),\epsilon\big)\mapsto\big(h(a),\epsilon\xi_{\varpi,\psi}\big)$ defines an isomorphism from $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ to $H_d(F)\times\mu_n$. We shall not use this fact since the parametrization given above of the genuine characters of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ is sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 3.1. *Any genuine smooth admissible irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ may be realized as*

$$i\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}\big)=\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{H_d(F)}}^{\widetilde{H}}\chi_{\varpi,\psi}.$$

The representations $i\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}\big)$ and $i\big(\chi'_{\varpi,\psi}\big)$ are isomorphic if and only if

$$\chi'=\chi\eta_\varpi^{2m}$$

for some integer m.

Proof. By a variation of Stone-von Neumann Theorem, see Theorem 3 of [13], the genuine smooth admissible irreducible representations of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ are parameterized by genuine characters of $\widetilde{H}_0$. A realization of a genuine smooth admissible irreducible representations τ of

$\widetilde{H(F)}$ whose central character is χ_τ is constructed by first extending χ_τ to a character of a maximal Abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ and then by inducing. This proves the first assertion. To prove the second assertion note that any character of $\widetilde{H_0}$ has exactly $[\widetilde{H_d(F)} : \widetilde{H_0}] = d$ extensions to $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ and that given a genuine character $\chi_{\varpi,\psi}$ of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$, the set

$$\{(\chi\eta_\varpi^{2m})_{\varpi,\psi} \mid m = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1\}$$

consists of d characters of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ whose restriction to $\widetilde{H_0}$ are equal. □

Given a complex parameter s and a genuine character $\chi_{\varpi,\psi}$ of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ we define another genuine character $\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$ of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ by

$$g = (a, \epsilon) \mapsto \chi_{\varpi,\psi}(g)\|a\|^s.$$

Note that $\chi_{\varpi,\psi} = \big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, 0\big)$. Similarly, given a genuine smooth admissible irreducible representation τ of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ whose central character is χ_τ and a complex parameter s we define τ_s to be the genuine smooth admissible irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ whose central character is

$$(x, \epsilon) \mapsto \chi_\varpi(a, \epsilon)\|a\|^s.$$

Observe that if $\tau \simeq i\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}\big)$ then $\tau_s \simeq i\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$.

3.3 Genuine principal series representations and Whittaker functionals

$\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ splits over $N(F)$ via the trivial section and $\widetilde{H(F)}$ normalizes $s\big(N(F)\big)$. Therefore, any representation of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ can be extended to a representation of $\widetilde{B(F)}$ by defining it to be trivial on $s\big(N(F)\big)$. Similar to the linear case we shall identify the representations of $\widetilde{B(F)}$ and $\widetilde{H(F)}$. A genuine principal series representation of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ is defined to be a representation parabolically induced from a genuine smooth admissible representation of $\widetilde{B(F)}$. Thus, using induction in stages, any genuine principal series representation of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ may be realized as

$$I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B_d(F)}}^{\widetilde{SL_2(F)}}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big).$$

where $B_d(F) = H_d(F)N(F)$. We shall assume that parabolic inductions are normalized.

Let ψ' be (another) non-trivial character F. A ψ'-Whittaker functional λ on a representation (π, V) of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ is a functional on V which satisfies

$$\lambda \circ \pi\big(s(x)\big) = \psi'(x)\lambda.$$

Let $Wh_{\psi'}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$ be the space of ψ' Whittaker functionals on $I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$. Unlike the linear case the dimension of this space is not 1. Arguing exactly as in Lemmas 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 of [4] we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$ be a genuine character of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$.*
1. $\dim Wh_{\psi'}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big) = [\widetilde{H} : \widetilde{H_d(F)}] = d.$

2. If $\|\chi\big(\varpi^d\big)\| < q^{Re(s)d}$ then for any $h \in \widetilde{H}$ and $f \in I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$ the integral

$$\int_F f\big(hs(wn(x))\big)\psi^{-1}(x)d_{\psi'}x$$

converges absolutely to a polynomial in q^{-s}. Moreover, for all s,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} f\big(hs(wn(x))\big)\psi^{-1}(x)d_{\psi'}x$$

exists.

3. Let $\lambda_{h,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}(f)$ denote the analytic continuation of the integral defined in Part 2. The map $f \mapsto \lambda_{h,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}(f)$ is a ψ' Whittaker functional on $I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$.

4. Let A be a set of representatives of $\widetilde{H}/\widetilde{H_d(F)}$. The set

$$\{\lambda_{h,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s} \mid h \in A\}$$

is a basis for $Wh_{\psi'}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$.

We shall now fix once and for all the set

$$A = \{s(\varpi^i) \mid i = 0, 1, \cdots d-1\}.$$

as a set of representatives of $\widetilde{H}/\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ and we shall write

$$\lambda_{i,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s} = \lambda_{s(\varpi^i),\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}.$$

Remark 3.1. *If we drop the assumption that the residual characteristic of F is prime to n, $\widetilde{H^d(F)}$ is still the center of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ but $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$ is not always Abelian. However, one can verify at once that the inverse image of*

$$\{h(x^d\varpi^k) \mid x \in F^*,\, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

inside $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ is always an Abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{H(F)}$. The index of this subgroup is $[\mathbb{O}_F^{*\,d} : \mathbb{O}_F^*]$. This index is an upper bound for the dimension of the irreducible genuine smooth admissible representations of $\widetilde{H(F)}$ and for the dimension of the space of Whittaker functionals on a genuine principal series representations of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$.

4 Metaplectic Shahidi local coefficients

4.1 Definition

Fix a genuine character $\chi_{\varpi,\psi}$ of $\widetilde{H_d(F)}$. If $\|\chi\big(\varpi^d\big)\| < q^{Re(s)d}$ then for any $f \in I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\big)$ the integral

$$\int_F f\big(s(w)s(n(x))g\big)d_{\psi'}x$$

converges absolutely to a rational function in q^{-s}. We shall denote its meromorphic continuation by $A_w\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)(f)$. Away from its poles,

$$A_w\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big):I(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)\to I(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s\big)$$

is a well defined $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ map. Define now

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}=\lambda_{i,\psi',\chi^{-1}_{\varpi,\psi},-s}\circ A_w\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big).$$

We have

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}=\sum_{j=0}^{d}\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')\lambda_{j,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s},$$

where the functions $\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')$ are rational in q^{-s}. Define now $D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')$ to be the $d\times d$ matrix whose entries are $\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')$. It is a higher dimensional metaplectic analog to Shahidi local coefficients. Precisely, if $n=1$ then $D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')=\tau(0,0,\chi,s,\psi')$ is the inverse of the local coefficient defined by Shahidi in [16]. For the metaplectic $n=2$ case see [20].

4.2 Reduction to an integral

Lemma 4.1. *If* $\|\chi\big(\varpi^d\big)\|<q^{Re(s)d}$ *then*

$$\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi')=q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\times$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{F^*_{d,i+j}\cap\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\|z\|^s\chi(z)\eta_\varpi(z)^{i-j}\xi_{\varpi,\psi}\big(\varpi^{-i-j}z\big)\psi'(z)\,d^*_{\psi'}z. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Define

$$K_m=\{g\in SL_2(F)\mid g=I_{2n}\,(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{P}_F^m)\}.$$

$\widetilde{K_m}$ is an open subgroup of $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$. For m sufficiently large, $\widetilde{SL_2(F)}$ splits over K_m via the trivial section. Denote $K_m^+=\widetilde{K_m}\cap s\big(N(F)\big)$. Suppose that $m>\max\{e(\chi),e(\psi')\}$. Let $f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}\in I(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)$ be the function supported in

$$\widetilde{B_d(F)}s\big(h(\varpi^j)K_mw\big)=\widetilde{B_d(F)}s\big(h(\varpi^j)\big)s(w)K_m^+$$

normalized such that

$$f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}(bs\big(h(\varpi^j)\big)s(w)k)=\mathrm{Vol}^{-1}_{\psi'}(\mathbb{P}_F^m)(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)\cdot\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(b)$$

for all $b\in\widetilde{B^d}$, $k\in K_m^+$. Arguing as in Lemma 1.31 of [4] we have

$$\lambda_{i,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}\big)=\begin{cases}1 & i=j;\\ 0 & i\neq j.\end{cases}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that if $\|\chi\big(\varpi^d\big)\|<q^{Re(s)d}$ then

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s}\big)=q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\times$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{F^*_{d,i+j}\cap\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\|z\|^s\chi(z)\eta_\varpi(z)^{i-j}\xi_{\varpi,\psi}\big(\varpi^{-i-j}z\big)\psi'(z)\,d^*_{\psi'}z. \tag{4.2}$$

We have

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_\varpi,\psi,s}\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\big)=$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\big((A_w\big(\chi_\varpi,\psi,s\big)\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\big)\big(s\big(h(\varpi^i)\big)s(w)^{-1}s(n(x))\big)\psi'^{-1}(x)\,d_{\psi'}x=$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\Big(\int_{F^*}f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\big(s(w)s(n(y))s\big(h(\varpi^i)\big)s(w)s(n(x))\big)\,d_{\psi'}y\Big)\psi'^{-1}(x)\,d_{\psi'}x. \tag{4.3}$$

By a matrix multiplication and by using the cocycle formula (3.1) we have

$$s(w)s\big(n(y)\big)s\big(h(\varpi^i)\big)s(w)s\big(n(x)\big)=\left(\begin{pmatrix}-\varpi^iy^{-1}&\varpi^{-i}\\0&-\varpi^{-i}y\end{pmatrix}wn(x-\varpi^{2i}y^{-1}),(\varpi,-1)^i(-\varpi^i,y)\right).$$

Hence, we may write the inner integral in (4.3) as

$$\int_{F^*}\|y\|f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\left(\begin{pmatrix}-\varpi^iy^{-1}&\varpi^{-i}\\0&-\varpi^{-i}y\end{pmatrix}wn(x-\varpi^{2i}y^{-1}),(\varpi,-1)^i(-\varpi^i,y)\right)\,d^*_{\psi'}y.$$

Making the change of variables $z=-\varpi^{2i}y^{-1}$ we obtain

$$q^{-2i}\int_{F^*}\|z\|^{-1}f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-i}z&-\varpi^{-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^i\end{pmatrix}wn(x+z),\eta^i_\varpi(z)(-1,z)\right)\,d^*_{\psi'}z.$$

Observe now that unless $x+z\in\mathbb{P}_F^m$ the last integrand vanishes. On the other hand ,if $x+z\in\mathbb{P}_F^m$ then $\psi'^{-1}(x)=\psi'(z)$. By the right invariance property of $f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s$ we have

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_\varpi,\psi,s}\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\big)=$$

$$q^{-2i}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\left(\int_{z\in F^*,\,x-\varpi^iz\in\mathbb{P}_F^m}\|z\|^{-1}f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-i}z&-\varpi^{-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^i\end{pmatrix}w,\eta^i_\varpi(z)(-1,z)\right)\psi'(z)\,d^*_{\psi'}z\right)\,d_{\psi'}x.$$

Changing the order of integration gives

$$q^{-2i}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{z\in F^*}\|z\|^{-1}f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-i}z&-a^{-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^i\end{pmatrix}w,\eta^i_\varpi(z)(-1,z)\right)\psi'(z)\phi(z,r,m)\,d^*_{\psi'}z$$

where

$$\phi(z,r,m)=\mathrm{Vol}_{\psi'}\big(\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}\cap z+\mathbb{P}_F^m\big).$$

Thus,

$$\lambda^w_{i,\psi',\chi_\varpi,\psi,s}\big(f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\big)=$$

$$q^{-2i}\,\mathrm{Vol}_{\psi'}\big(\mathbb{P}_F^m\big)\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{F^*\cap\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\|z\|^{-1}f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-i}z&-\varpi^{-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^i\end{pmatrix}w,\eta^i_\varpi(z)(-1,z)\right)\psi'(z)\,d^*_{\psi'}z.$$

We now write

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varpi^{-i}z&-\varpi^{-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^i\end{pmatrix}w,\eta^i_\varpi(z)(-1,z)\right)=\left(\begin{pmatrix}(\varpi)^{-i-j}z&-\varpi^{j-i}\\0&z^{-1}\varpi^{i+j}\end{pmatrix},\eta^{i-j}(z)\right)s\left(h(\varpi^j)\right)s(w).$$

Recalling the definition of $f_{m,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi}},s$, (4.2) now follows. □

Note that the factor q^{j-i} in (4.1) is eliminated if we redefine $\lambda_{i,\psi',.,.}$ by multiplying it by $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}h(\varpi^i)=q^{-i}$. We shall not do so since we want our coefficients to be compatible with those defined in [4].

4.3 Explicit Formulas

We shall now assume, without loss of generality, that ψ is spherical. Following remark 1.1 we shall also assume and that if $n = 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ then $\gamma_\psi(\varpi) = 1$. We shall now give formulas for $D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s, \psi')$ under the assumption that $\psi' = \psi$. This last assumption does affect the analytic behaviour of the local coefficients. However, the computation below is sufficient for our purposes, i.e., the computation of the Plancherel measure in Theorem 5.1 below. Moreover, our computation can easily be modified to include all Whittaker characters. Recall that once ψ and ϖ have been fixed, the actual inducing data for $I\left(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s\right)$ is $s\left(\mathrm{mod}\, \frac{2\varpi i}{d\ln(q)}\mathbb{Z}\right)$ and the restriction of χ to F^{*d}. Using Lemma 3.1 we may assume that if n is odd then χ is either trivial or that χ^n is ramified. For even n we have to take another case into consideration, i.e., $\chi = \eta_\varpi$. Last, we formulate our results using ϵ factors and $L-$functions. Thus, it is convenient to think of χ as a character of F^* rather than a character of F_d^*. Of course, our formulas for $\tau(i, j, \chi_{\varpi,\psi}, s, \psi)$ depends only on the restriction of χ to F_d^*.

For an integer k define k' to be the unique number such that

$$k' = k \,(\mathrm{mod}\ n),\ 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that n is odd. We can omit the subscript ϖ, ψ from $\chi_{\varpi,\psi}$*
1. We have

$$\tau(j, j, 1, s, \psi) = L(ns, 1) \times \begin{cases} (1 - q^{-1}) & 2j < n-1; \\ L^{-1}(1 - ns, 1) & 2j = n-1; \\ q^{ns}(1 - q^{-1}) & 2j > n-1. \end{cases}$$

and for $i \neq j$ we have

$$\tau(i, j, 1, s, \psi) = \begin{cases} q^{j-i+s(n-1)}\epsilon^{-1}\left(s, \eta_\varpi^{i-j}, \psi\right) & j + i = n-1; \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. If χ^n is ramified then

$$\tau(i, j, \chi, s, \psi) = \begin{cases} \chi(-1)q^{j-i}\left(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s}\right)^{-i-j}\epsilon^{-1}\left(s, \chi\eta_\varpi^{i-j}, \psi\right) & j + i + e(\chi) = 0 \bmod(n); \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Define $\phi = q^{-r}\mathbf{1}_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^r}$. A standard computation shows that $\widehat{\phi}(x) = \psi(x)1_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}(x)$. Thus,

$$\int_{F_{d,i+j}^* \cap \mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \|z\|^s \chi(z)\eta_\varpi^{i-j}(z)\psi'(z)\, d^*z = \zeta_{n,k}(s, \chi\eta_\varpi^{i-j}, \widehat{\phi}).$$

It is easy to see that if $r > \max\{1, e(\chi)\}$ then

$$\zeta_{n,k}(1 - s, \chi^{-1}\eta_\varpi^{j-i}, \phi) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ n); \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\tau(i,j,\chi,s,\psi)=q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\theta_{\left(i+j+e(\eta_\varpi^{i-j}\chi)\right)'}(s,\chi\eta_\varpi^{i-j},\psi).$$

The proof is now completed by a straight forward case by case computation. □

For $n\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ define

$$\alpha(n)=\begin{cases}1 & n=0\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4);\\ \\ 0 & n=2\,(\mathrm{mod}\ 4).\end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that n is even*
1. We have

$$\tau(j,j,1_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=\begin{cases}\frac{L(ns,1)L(\frac{1-ns}{2},1)}{L(1-ns,1)L(\frac{1+ns}{2},1)} & j=\frac{d-1}{2};\\ \\ (1-q^{-1})L(ns,1) & otherwise\end{cases},$$

and for $i\neq j$ we have

$$\tau(i,j,1_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=\begin{cases}q^{j-i+s(d-1)}\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\eta_\varpi^{2(i-j)},\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\eta_\varpi^{i-j},\psi) & j+i=d-1;\\ \\ 0 & otherwise.\end{cases}$$

2. If $j-i=1 \bmod(d)$, then

$$\tau(i,j,(\eta_\varpi)_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=q^{(s+1)(j-i)}\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\eta_\varpi^d,\psi)L(1,ns)\begin{cases}L^{-1}(1-ns,1) & (i,j)=(d-1,0);\\ \\ (1-q^{-1}) & otherwise\end{cases},$$

and if $j-i\neq 1, \bmod(d)$ then

$$\tau(i,j,(\eta_\varpi)_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=\begin{cases}q^{(j-i)+s(d-1)}\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\eta_\varpi^{i-j+1},\psi)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\eta_\varpi^{2(i-j+1)},\psi_2) & i+j=d-1;\\ \\ 0 & otherwise.\end{cases}$$

3. Suppose that χ^n is ramified. If $j+i+e(\chi)=0\,(\mathrm{mod}\ d)$, then

$$\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=$$
$$q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\chi(-1)\epsilon^{-1}(2s,\chi^2\eta_\varpi^{2(i-j)},\psi_2)\epsilon(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi\eta_\varpi^{(d+1)(i-j)+\alpha(n)(e(\chi)+i+j)},\psi),$$

and otherwise $\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=0$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.5 we have

$$\tau(i,j,\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)=q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\times$$

$$\Big(\int_{F^*_{n,i+j}} \|z\|^s \chi(z)\eta_\varpi(z)^{(d+1)(i-j)}\gamma_\psi\big(z\big)\psi'(z)\,d^*z + \int_{F^*_{n,d+i+j}} \|z\|^s \chi(z)\eta_\varpi(z)^{(d+1)(i-j)+d\alpha(n)}\gamma_\psi\big(z\big)\psi'(z)\,d^*z\Big).$$

Define again $\phi = q^{-r}\mathbf{1}_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^r}$. It was shown in Section 2 of [21] that

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x) = \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\psi(x)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}(x).$$

Utilizing Theorem 2.2 and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we obtain

$$\tau(i,j,\chi_{_\psi},s,\psi) = q^{j-i}(\chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-i-j}\times$$

$$\Big(\widetilde{\theta}_{\big(e(\chi^2\eta_\varpi^{2(i-j)})+i+j\big)'}(s,\chi\eta_\varpi^{(d+1)(i-j)},\psi) + \widetilde{\theta}_{\big(e(\chi^2\eta_\varpi^{2(i-j)})+d+i+j\big)'}(s,\chi\eta_\varpi^{(d+1)(i-j)+d\alpha(n)},\psi)\Big). \tag{4.4}$$

The proof is now reduced to a straight forward case by case computation.

□

5 An Irreducibility result.

Fix τ, a genuine smooth admissible irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H(F)}$. By Lemma 3.1 $\tau \simeq i\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}\big)$ for some character χ of F_d^*. Extend χ to a character of F^*. Using Lemma 3.1 again we observe that χ^n is independent of the particular chosen extension and of the splitting $\xi_{\varpi,\psi}$. Thus, it is an invariant of τ. Define now

$$I(\tau,s) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B(F)}}^{\widetilde{SL_2(F)}}\tau_s.$$

Let

$$A_w(\tau,s) : I(\tau,s) \to I(\tau^w,-s)$$

be the meromorphic continuation of the integral

$$\int_F f\big(s(w)s(n(x))g\big)\,d_\psi x. \tag{5.1}$$

Here τ^w is the representation $h \mapsto \tau\big(s(w)hs(w)^{-1}\big)$. For all but finitely many values of q^s, $A_w(\tau,s)$ is analytic and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{SL_2(F)}}\Big(I(\tau,s),I(\tau,s)\Big)$$

is one dimensional. Thus, there exists a rational function in q^{-s}, $\mu\big(\tau,s\big)$, such that

$$A_{w^{-1}}\big(I(\tau^w,-s)\big)\circ A_w\big(I(\tau,s)\big) = \mu^{-1}(\tau,s)\,\mathrm{Id}\,.$$

Theorem 5.1.

$$\mu^{-1}(\tau,s\big) = q^{e(\chi^n,\psi)}\frac{L\big(ns,\chi^n\big)L\big(-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)}{L\big(1-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)L\big(1+ns,\chi^n\big)}.$$

Proof. Fixing isomorphisms $I(\tau,s)\simeq I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)$, $I(\tau^w,-s)\simeq I\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s\big)$ one immediately sees that

$$A_{w^{-1}}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s\big)\circ A_w\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s\big)=\mu^{-1}\big(\tau,s\big)\,\mathrm{Id}$$

(we choose the Haar measure to be same one used in (5.1)). Since $d_\psi x=q^{\frac{e(\psi)}{2}}\,dx$ it is sufficient to prove this theorem assuming that ψ is spherical. We also assume, without loss of generality, that $\gamma_\psi(\varpi)=1$ and that χ is one of the characters that appears in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. Next we note that since

$$A_{w^{-1}}\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s\big)=\chi(-1)A_w\big(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s\big),$$

the proof of the theorem is done once we show

$$D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi},s,\psi)D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s,\psi)=\chi(-1)q^{-e(\chi^n)}\frac{L\big(ns,\chi^n\big)L\big(-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)}{L\big(1-ns,\chi^{-n}\big)L\big(1+ns,\chi^n\big)}\,\mathrm{Id}\,.$$

This identity is proven by a case by case matrix multiplication using the formulas in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. More precisely, these two lemmas give the formula for $D(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1},-s,\psi)$ for all χ in discussion except in the case where n is even and $\chi=\eta_\varpi$. The formula in this case follows directly from (4.4) as well. During the course of the computation one should use (1.2), (1.3) and (1.5) along with the fact that for all the cases, except for the case where n is even and $\chi=\eta_\varpi$, we have $e(\chi^n)=e(\chi)$. This last assertion is proven by a similar argument to the one we used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 utilizing the fact that $1+\mathbb{P}_F\in F^{*n}$. □

The Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem for quasisplit p-adic groups, [18], gives a reducibility criteria for parabolic induction in terms of the Plancherel measure. The proof of the analogous result for metaplectic groups should follow using similar arguments to those that appear in [18]. Although at this point there is no written proof it is rather standard to assume this result for covering groups. See [2] for an example involving an n fold cover of $GL_2(F)$. Moreover, in [12] Li assumed the theory of R-groups for $\widetilde{Sp_{2n}(F)}$, the metaplectic double cover of $Sp_{2n}(F)$ which is based on the Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem. Assuming the Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem, it was proven in [22] that all genuine principal representations of $\widetilde{Sp_{2n}(F)}$ induced from a unitary character are irreducible. This result was proved unconditionally in [9]. It can be easily shown that the irreducibility results for principal series representations of the metaplectic double cover of $GSp_{2n}(F)$ given in [23] and [24] also agree with the Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem . The results in [23] and [24] are also unconditional since these are based on [22]. In the case at hand the Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem is reduced to the following statement:

Proposition 1. *Suppose that τ is unitary. Then, $I(\tau,0)$ is reducible if and only if $\tau\simeq\tau^w$ and $\mu^{-1}(\tau,s)$ is analytic at $s=0$.*

This proposition is contained in [15], where the Knapp-Stein Dimension Theorem is proven for unitary parabolic induction from P to G and from $\widetilde{P}$ to $\widetilde{G}$ where G is a reductive group defined over F, P is a maximal parabolic subgroup of G, $\widetilde{G}$ is a central extension of G by μ_n and $\widetilde{P}$ is the preimage of P in $\widetilde{G}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that τ is unitary. Then $I(\tau,0)$ is reducible if and only if n is odd and the projection of the central character of τ to $H^d(F)$ is a non-trivial quadratic character.*

Proof. We first note that if $\tau \simeq i(\chi_{\varpi,\psi})$ then $\tau^w \simeq i(\chi_{\varpi,\psi}^{-1})$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 $\tau \simeq \tau^w$ if and only if $\chi = \chi^{-1}\eta_\varpi^{2m}$ for some $m = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$. If we extend χ to F^* then the last equality is equivalent to $\chi^{2d} = 1$. Suppose first that n is even. In this case we have just shown that $\tau \simeq \tau^w$ implies that χ^n is trivial. By Theorem 5.1 we occlude that $\mu^{-1}(\tau, s)$ has a pole at $s = 0$. Suppose now that n is odd and that $\tau \simeq \tau^w$. In this case χ^{2n} is trivial. Thus, if χ^n is not trivial then $\mu^{-1}(\tau, s)$ is analytic at $s = 0$. The assertions $\chi^{2n} = 1,\ \ \chi^n \neq 1$ are equivalent to the assertion that the projection of the central character of τ to $H^d(F)$ is a non-trivial quadratic character. □

6 Appendix: A result of W. Jay Sweet.

In this appendix we shall not assume any restriction on the p-adic field F. Let χ be a character of F^* and let ψ be a non-trivial character of F. We intend to prove here that for $Re(s) >> 0$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \chi(x)\|x\|^s \gamma_\psi(x)^{-1}\psi(x)\, d_\psi^* x = \gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\gamma^{-1}(2s, \chi^2, \psi_2)\gamma(s+\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi). \quad (6.1)$$

Our proof follows closely the original proof of Sweet in [19] although we did not keep all of his notation.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that* (6.1) *holds provided that ψ is unramified. Then, it holds for all non-trivial ψ.*

Proof. Assume that $e(\psi) = n$. Then $\psi' = \psi_{(\varpi^n)}$ is unramified and $d_\psi x = q^{\frac{n}{2}} d_{\psi'} x = q^{\frac{n}{2}} dx$. By definition of the Weil index we have

$$\gamma_\psi(x) = \gamma_{\psi'}(x)(x, \varpi^n)_2.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s \psi(x)\, d_\psi^* x = q^{\frac{n}{2}} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(x)(x, \varpi^n)_2 \chi(x)\|x\|^s \psi'(x\varpi^{-n})\, d^* x.$$

We make the change integration variables $a = x\varpi^{-n}$ and obtain

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s \psi(x)\, d_\psi^* x = q^{\frac{n}{2}-ns}\gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(\varpi^n)(-1, \varpi^n)\chi(\varpi^n) \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(a)\chi(a)\|a\|^s \psi'(a)\, d^* a.$$

Since ψ' is unramified it follows from our assumption that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s \psi(x)\, d_\psi^* x =$$

$$q^{\frac{n}{2}-ns}\gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(\varpi^n)(-1, \varpi^n)\chi(\varpi^n)\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi'_{-1})\chi(-1)\gamma^{-1}(2s, \chi^2, \psi'_2)\gamma(s+\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \psi').$$

By (1.4) we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}} \gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s \psi(x)\, d_\psi^* x =$$

$$\gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(\varpi^n)(-1,\varpi^n)\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi'_{-1})\chi(-1)\gamma^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\gamma(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi).$$

It is left to show that

$$\gamma_{\psi'}(\varpi^n)(-1,\varpi^n)\gamma_F(\psi'_{-1})=\gamma_F(\psi_{-1}).$$

Indeed,

$$\gamma_{\psi'}(\varpi^n)(-1,\varpi^n)\gamma_F(\psi'_{-1})=\gamma_{\psi'}(-\varpi^{-n})\gamma_{\psi'}^{-1}(-1)\gamma_F(\psi'_{-1})=\gamma_F(\psi'_{(-\varpi^{-n})})=\gamma_F(\psi_{-1}).$$

□

We shall assume from this point that ψ is normalized. Define

$$e(2,F)=log_q[\mathbb{O}_F:2\mathbb{O}_F]=-log_q\|2\|$$

and define

$$c_\psi(a)=\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-r}}\psi(ax^2)\,dx.$$

With this notation:

$$\gamma_\psi(a)=\|a\|^{\frac{1}{2}}c_\psi(a)c_\psi^{-1}(1).$$

Proposition 3.3 of [3] states that

$$\gamma_F(a\psi)=\|2a\|^{\frac{1}{2}}c_\psi(a). \tag{6.2}$$

We fix an integer M such that $M\geq\max\{2e+1,m(\chi)\}$. By Hensel's lemma, $1+\mathbb{P}_F^{2e+1}\subseteq F^{*2}$. This implies (Proposition 3.1 of [3]) that for $\|a\|\geq q^{-M}$ we have

$$c_\psi(a)=\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi(ax^2)\,dx. \tag{6.3}$$

Note that since $\gamma_\psi\in\mathbb{C}^1$, (6.3) implies

$$\gamma_\psi^{-1}=\gamma_{(\psi_{-1})}. \tag{6.4}$$

The following is Lemma 2.2 of [19]. We give an elementary proof.

Lemma 6.2. *For $z\in F^*$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi^{-1}(zc^2-2c)\,dc=\psi(z^{-1})\|z\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(z)c_\psi(-1)1_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}(z^{-1}).$$

Proof. Write $x=c-z^{-1}$. This gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi^{-1}(zc^2-2c)\,dc=\psi(z^{-1})\int_{-z^{-1}+\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi(-zx^2)\,dx. \tag{6.5}$$

Assume first that $\|z\|\geq q^{-M}$. In this case $-z^{-1}\in\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}$. Thus, by (6.3) and (6.4) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi^{-1}(zc^2-2c)\,dc=\psi(z^{-1})\int_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}\psi(-zx^2)\,dx=c_\psi(-z)=\|z\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(z)c_\psi(-1).$$

The Lemma is now proven for this case. Suppose now that $\|z\| < q^{-M}$. We shall write $z = u_0\varpi^k$, where $u \in \mathbb{O}_F^*$, $k > M$. Note that in this case

$$-z^{-1} + \mathbb{P}_F^{-M} = -z^{-1}(1 + \mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}).$$

Thus, by changing $x = -z^{-1}y$ in the right hand side of (6.5), we only need to show that

$$\int_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}} \psi(-z^{-1}y^2)\, dy = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Suppose that $2M > k > M$. In this case we can pick integer t such that

$$\max\{2k - 2M, 2e + 1\} \leq t < k.$$

For any $u \in \mathbb{O}_F$, we have $1 + u\varpi^t \in F^{*2}$. We claim that we can always find a solution for the equation $x^2 = 1 + u\varpi^t$ such that $x \in 1 + \mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}$. Indeed, if

$$\|x^2 - 1\| \leq q^{-t} \leq q^{-(2k-2M)},$$

then since $\| - x - 1\| \cdot \|x - 1\| = \|x^2 - 1\|$ it is not possible that both $\| - x - 1\|$ and $\|x - 1\|$ are greater then $q^{-(k-M)}$. We shall denote this solution by $\sqrt{1 + u\varpi^t}$. It follows that for any $u \in \mathbb{O}_F$ we can change $y \mapsto y\sqrt{1 + u\varpi^t}$ in the left hand side of (6.6), without changing the measure or the domain of integration. This gives

$$\int_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}} \psi(-z^{-1}y^2)\, dy = \int_{\mathbb{O}_F} \int_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}} \psi_{-u_0^{-1}}\big(\varpi^{-k}y^2(1 + u\varpi^t)\big)\, dy\, du =$$

$$\int_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^{k-M}} \psi_{-u_0}(\varpi^k y^2)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{O}_F} \psi_{-y^2u_0^{-1}}(\varpi^{t-k}u)\, du\Big)\, dy.$$

Since $k > t$, the last inner integral vanishes. We now deal with the case $k \geq 2M$. We change $y = 1 + u\varpi^{k-M}$ in the left hand side of (6.6). We have to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{O}_F} \psi_{-u_0^{-1}}(2\varpi^{-M}u)\psi_{-u_0^{-1}}(\varpi^{k-2M}u^2)\, du = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that since $k \geq 2M$, $\psi_{-u_0^{-1}}(\varpi^{k-2M}u^2) = 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{O}_F$ and from the fact that the map $u \mapsto \psi_{-u_0^{-1}}(2\varpi^{-M}u)$ is a non-trivial character of $\mathbb{O}_F$. □

In what follows, we shall have two different additive characters. To stress the dependence of the Fourier transom of $\phi \in S(F)$ on the additive character we shall write ϕ_ψ instead of $\widehat{\phi}$. While we take the ψ-self dual measure for the integration defining the ψ-Fourier transform we shall assume here that the measure in the integral defining the Mellin transforms is d^*x. This last convenient assumption does not change the definition of the Tate $\gamma-$factor in (1.1). Define

$$f^M(x) = \psi(2x)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}_F^{-M}}(x). \tag{6.7}$$

By a straight forward computation one sees that

$$f^M_{\psi_2}(x) = q^{M-\frac{e}{2}}\mathbf{1}_{1+\mathbb{P}_F^{M-e}}(-x) \tag{6.8}$$

and that

$$\zeta(s,\chi^2,f^M_{\psi_2},)=q^{\frac{e}{2}}=\|2\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.9}$$

We now come to the heart of the proof given in [19]. Denote

$$I(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s\psi(x)\,d^*x.$$

Theorem 6.1.

$$I(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\gamma^{-1}(2s,\chi^2,\psi_2)\gamma(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\psi).$$

Proof.

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s\psi(x)\,d^*x=\int_F\gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi(x)\|x\|^s\psi(x)1_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}(x)\,d^*x=[x\mapsto x^{-1}]=$$

$$\int_F\gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{-s}\psi(x^{-1})1_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}(x^{-1})\,d^*x=$$

$$c_\psi^{-1}(-1)\int_F\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\|x\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_\psi^{-1}(x)c_\psi(-1)\psi(x^{-1})1_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}(x^{-1})\Big)\,d^*x.$$

By Lemma 6.2,

$$I(M,\chi,\psi,s)=c_\psi^{-1}(-1)\int_F\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}\psi^{-1}(xc^2-2c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*x=[x\mapsto -x]=$$

$$\chi(-1)c_\psi^{-1}(-1)\int_F\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{P}^{-M}_F}\psi(xc^2)\psi(2c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*x.$$

Recalling (6.7) we obtain

$$I(M,\chi,\psi,s)=c_\psi^{-1}(-1)\chi(-1)\int_F\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F\psi(xc^2)f^M(2c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*x. \tag{6.10}$$

Let $\phi\in S(F)$ be such that $\phi_\psi(0)=0$ and such that $\zeta(s,\chi,\phi)$ is not the zero function and define:

$$I'(M,\chi,\psi,s)=I(M,\chi,\psi,s)\zeta(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\phi)\zeta(1-2s,\chi^{-2},f^M_{\psi_2}). \tag{6.11}$$

By (6.2), (6.9) and (6.10) we have

$$I'(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\phi(y)\chi(y)\|y\|^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\,d^*y\int_F\chi^{-1}(x)\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F\psi(xc^2)f^M(2c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*x$$

(note that since $Re(s)>>0$, both integrals in the right hand side are absolutely convergent). Recalling that $d^*y=\frac{dy}{\|y\|}$ we get

$$I'(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\int_{F^*}\chi(yx^{-1})\phi(y)\|xy^{-1}\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F\psi(xc^2)f^M(c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*x\,dy=$$

$$[x=yz]=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\int_{F^*}\chi^{-1}(z)\phi(y)\|z\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F\psi(yzc^2)f^M(c)\,dc\Big)\,d^*z\,dy.$$

We would like to change to $z-y$ order of integration. Therefore, we need to show that

$$G(y,z)=\chi^{-1}(z)\phi(y)\|z\|^{-\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F \psi(yzc^2)f^{^M}(c)\,dc\Big)$$

is integrable on $F\times F$ (with respect to $dy\,dz$.) Indeed, since $\phi\in S(F)$, $G(y,z)$ vanishes for large values of $\|y\|$. By Lemma 6.2, $G(y,z)$ also vanishes when $\|yz\|<q^{-M}$. This implies that as $\|z\|\to\infty$ then $G(y,z)$ is bounded by $c\|z\|^{-\frac{1}{2}-s}$. We also change the $y-c$ order of integration. This change is easily justified since

$$K(c,y)=\phi(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}-s}\psi(yzc^2)f^{^M}(c)$$

is a bounded compactly supported function in the $c-y$ plane. Thus,

$$I'(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\int_{F^*}\chi^{-1}(z)f^{^M}(c)\|z\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\Big(\int_F\phi(y)\psi(yzc^2)\,dy\Big)\,dc\,d^*z=$$

$$\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\int_{F^*}\chi^{-1}(z)f^{^M}(c)\|z\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\phi_\psi(zc^2)\,dc\,d^*z.$$

We note that

$$F(c,z)=\chi^{-1}(z)f^{^M}(c)\|z\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\phi_\psi(zc^2)$$

is compactly supported on the $c-z$ plane, and since we assume that ϕ_ψ is supported away from 0, it follows that $F(c,z)$ is bounded. This implies that we can change the $c-z$ order of integration. We then change $zc^2=t$ in the inner d^*z integral and obtain:

$$I'(M,\chi,\psi,s)=\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\int_{F^*}\chi^{-1}(tc^{-2})f^{^M}(c)\|tc^{-2}\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\phi_\psi(t)\,d^*t\,dc=$$

$$\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\int_{F^*}\phi_\psi(t)\chi^{-1}(t)\|t\|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\,d^*t\int_{F^*}f^{^M}(c)\chi^2(c)\|c\|^{2s}\,d^*c.$$

Recalling (6.11), we have shown that

$$\gamma_F^{-1}(\psi_{-1})\chi(-1)\zeta(-s+\frac{1}{2},\chi^{-1},\phi_\psi,)\zeta(2s,\chi^2,f^{^M})=I(M,\chi,\psi,s)\zeta(s+\frac{1}{2},\chi,\phi)\zeta(1-2s,\chi^{-2},f^{^M}_{\psi_2}).$$

By (1.1), the functional equation defining the Tate γ-factor, the theorem now follows. □

References

[1] Ariturk, H. *On the composition series of principal series representations of a three-fold covering group of SL(2,K).* Nagoya Math. J. 77 (1980), pp. 177-196.

[2] Budden M., *Local coefficient matrices of metaplectic groups.* J. Lie Theory 16, no. 2, (2006), pp. 239-249.

[3] Chais J., Cong X., A note on Weil index. Sci. China Ser. A 50 (2007), no. 7, pp. 951-956.

[4] Kazhdan, D. A., Patterson, S. J,*Metaplectic forms*, Inst. Hautes etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 59,(1984), pp. 35–142.

[5] Friedberg, S., Goldberg, D., Szpruch, D., In preparation.

[6] Gan W.T, Gao F., *The Langlands-Weissman Program for Brylinski-Deligne extensions.* Preprint. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4039

[7] Gelbart S., Piatetski-Shapiro I., *Distinguished representations and modular forms of half-integral weight.* Invent. Math. 59 (1980), no. 2, pp. 145-188.

[8] Gelbart S., Piatetski-Shapiro I., *On Shimura's correspondence for modular forms of half-integral weight.* , pp. 1-39, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981.

[9] Hanzer M., Matic I., *Irreducibility of the unitary principal series of p-adic* $\widetilde{Sp(n)}$. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 248-1 (2010), pp. 107-137.

[10] Kubota T., *Automorphic functions and the reciprocity law in a number eld.* Kinokuniya book store (1969).

[11] Langlands, R.P., *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 544. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[12] Li W.W., *La formule des traces pour les revtements de groupes rductifs connexes. II. Analyse harmonique locale.* Ann. Sci. c. Norm. Supr. (4) 45 (2012), no. 5, pp. 787-859 (2013).

[13] McNamara P.J., *Principal Series Representations of Metaplectic Groups Over Local Fields* in *Multiple Dirichlet Series, L-functions and Automorphic Forms,* editors Bump D., Friedberg S. and Goldfeld D. Birkhuser (2012).

[14] McNamara P.J., *The metaplectic Casselman-Shalika formula*. To appear, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6597

[15] Savin G., *An addendum to Casselman's notes.* Preprint.

[16] Shahidi F., *On certain L-functions.* Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), no. 2, pp. 297-355.

[17] Shahidi, F., *Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures for p-adic groups* in *Harmonic analysis on reductive groups*, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991, pp 277-295.

[18] Silberger A.J., *the Knapp-Stein dimension theorem for p-adic groups*, Proceeding of the Ameraican mathematical society, Vol. 68, No. 2, (1978), pp. 243-246 and Silberger A.J., *Correction: "The Knapp-Stein dimension theorem for p-adic groups"*, Proceeding of the Ameraican mathematical society, Vol. 76, No. 1, (1979), pp. 169-170.

[19] Sweet W. J., Functional equations of p-adic zeta integrals and representations of the metaplectic group. Preprint (1995).

[20] Szpruch D., *Computation of the local coefficients for principal series representations of the metaplectic double cover of* $SL_2(F)$. Journal of Number Theory, Vol. 129, (2009), pp. 2180-2213

[21] Szpruch D., *On the existence of a p-adic metaplectic Tate-type* $\widetilde{\gamma}$*-factor.* The Ramanujan Journal, Vol. 26 (2011), no. 1, pp 45-53.

[22] Szpruch D., *Some irreducibility theorems of parabolic induction on the metaplectic group via the Langlands-Shahidi method.* Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 195 (2013), no. 2, pp. 897-971

[23] Szpruch D., *Some results in the theory of genuine representations of the metaplectic double cover of GSp(2,F) over p-adic fields.* Journal of Algebra, Vol. 388 (2013) pp. 160–193.

[24] Szpruch D., *Symmetric Spherical Whittaker functions on the metaplectic double cover of Gsp(2n,F).* Canadian Journal of Mathematics Vol. 67 (2015), no. 1, pp. 214–240.

[25] Tate J.T, *Fourier analysis in number fields, and Hecke's zeta-functions* in *Algebraic Number Theory* (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), 1967, pp. 305-347.

[26] Tate J.T, *Number theoretic background. Automorphic forms* in *representations and L-functions*. (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 3-26, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[27] Weil A., *Sur certains groupes d'operateurs unitaires*, Acta Math Vol 111, 1964, pp. 143–211.

[28] Weil A. *Basic number theory.* Reprint of the second (1973) edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. xviii+315 pp.